

Objective 1-1 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results - FY 2008

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results			
			Green	Amber	Red	1 QTR 08	2 QTR 08	3 QTR 08	4 QTR 08
1-1.1	Percent of training exercises for which maneuver damage inspections were accomplished; and percent of training exercises for which adequate time was allocated on the training calendar for maneuver damage inspections.	Quarterly	Inspections were fully completed for 100% of training exercises (home station and rotational events).	Inspections were fully completed for 80 - 99% of training exercises (home station and rotational events).	Inspections were completed for < 80% of training exercises (home station and rotational events).	Green (100%)	Green (100%)	Green (100%)	Green (100%)
1-1.2	Percent of repairs/corrective actions completed within 30 days from the date that damages were identified; and percent of required repairs for which adequate time was allocated on the training calendar.	Quarterly	>75% of corrective actions are completed in 30 days or less.	50% - 75% of corrective actions are completed in 30 days or less.	< 50% of corrective actions are completed in 30 days or less.	Red (0%)	Green (79%)	Green (100%)	Red (0%)
1-1.3	Ratio of Sustainable Range Awareness (SRA) certified soldiers to minimum number of required Range Safety Officers per Major Subordinate Command; ratio of SRA certified Observer/Controllers (O/Cs) to assigned O/Cs.	Annually	≥ 1.0 for all units	< 1.0 for one or more units and ≥ 0.95 for all units	< 0.95 for one or more units	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	Green (1.75)
1-1.4	Trends for frequency, type and severity of maneuver damages.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	No trend (n=15)	No trend (n=39)	No trend (n=88)	No trend (n=142)
1-1.5	Percent of corrective actions that were determined to be effective based on site re-inspections.	Quarterly	> 90 % of damage repairs are effective.	75-90% of damage repairs are effective	< 75 % of damage repairs are effective.	N/A (No repairs completed w/in 120 days)	Green (100%)	Green (100%)	N/A (No repairs completed w/in 30 days)
1-1.6	Trends for violations of range regulations/permit conditions for environmental protection.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	No trend (n=0)	No trend (n=1)	No trend (n=1)	No trend (n=2; tree violations)
1-1.7	Percent bare ground for "sandbox" (SB) areas and forest maneuver (FM) areas	Annually	Upper 95% confidence limit of the median percent bare ground is < 20% SB / 5% FM	Upper 95% confidence limit (CL) of the median percent bare ground is ≥ 20% SB / 5% FM, and the median percent bare ground is ≤ 20% SB / 5% FM	Median percent bare ground is > 20% SB / 5% FM	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	Green (FM: median = 0; SB: median = 5.5, CL = 9.8)
1-1.8	Number of new historic damage sites identified annually.	Annually	< 15 historic sites identified per year.	15-30 historic sites identified per year.	> 30 historic sites identified per year.	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	Green (n=0)

Objective 1-2 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results - FY 2008

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results
			Green	Amber	Red	FY08
1-2.1	Percent of disturbed/degraded acres funded for land rehabilitation and maintenance (LRAM), based on requirements identified in Integrated Training Area Management (ITAM) Annual Work Plan.	Annual	≥ 90% of planned LRAM acres are funded.	< 90% and ≥ 70% of planned LRAM acres are funded.	< 70% of LRAM acres are funded.	Green (20.7 / 20.7 = 100%)
1-2.2	Percent of funded LRAM project acres that are completed during the fiscal year.	Annual	≥ 90% of funded LRAM project acres are completed.	< 90% and ≥ 70% of funded LRAM project acres are completed.	< 70% of funded LRAM project acres are completed.	Green (20.7 / 20.7 = 100%)
1-2.3	Percent of sub-watersheds for which current watershed management plans are in place. (Notes: 1. watershed management plans are not required for the LUA because the need for LRAM work is not expected in the LUA due to the low intensity of training. 2. The term "current" denotes that an annual review has been conducted and the management plan has been updated or carried forward as appropriate.)	Annual	Current management plans are in place for ≥ 90% of sub-watersheds.	Current management plans are in place for < 90% and ≥ 70% of sub-watersheds.	Current management plans are in place for < 70% of sub-watersheds.	Red (2 / 24 = 8%; management plans completed for Big Creek and Bundicks Creek Subwatersheds)
1-2.4	Annual prioritized list of LRAM projects cross-referenced to sub-watershed. (Prioritization of LRAM projects will include consideration of both site-specific factors such as safety, training use, and biological impacts; and the overall sub-watershed current to undisturbed (C:U) erosion rates, or other watershed condition factor. See tasks 1-2.6, 1-2.7 and 1-2.8.)	Annual	Project prioritization report is completed.	N/A	Project prioritization report is not completed.	Green (Project prioritization report complete)
1-2.5	Percent of LRAM projects that meet minimum project level objectives.	Annual	≥ 80% of LRAM projects meet minimum project level objectives.	< 80% and ≥ 60% of LRAM projects meet minimum project level objectives.	< 60% of LRAM projects meet minimum project level objectives.	Red (1 / 6 = 17%)
1-2.6	Ratio of estimated current to undisturbed soil loss rate (tons/acre/year) across Fort Polk training lands (Main Post/Vernon Unit, Peason Ridge).	5 years	≥ 80 % of training lands have an current:undisturbed soil loss (C:U) ratio ≤ 1.20; and ≥ 90% of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.55	< 80 % of training lands have C:U ratio ≤ 1.20, or < 90% of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.55; and ≥ 60 % of training lands have C:U ratio ≤ 1.20, and ≥ 80 % of sub-watersheds have a C:U ≤ 1.55	< 60 % of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.20; or < 80 % of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.55	Green (94% of training lands have C:U ≤ 1:20)
1-2.7	Multi-year change in total acres of bare or sparsely vegetated areas. (Bare or sparsely vegetated areas will be determined through processing of satellite imagery to classify land use/land cover classes across training lands. This task will be accomplished in connection with development of a C-factor layer by the RTLA program. The analysis will include the Fort Polk Main Post (Army land and IUA), LUA and Peason Ridge.)	5 years	The net acreage of bare or sparsely vegetated areas is stable or decreasing in ≥ 90% of sub-watersheds.	The net acreage of bare or sparsely vegetated areas is stable or decreasing in < 90% of sub-watersheds and ≥ 80% of sub-watersheds.	The net acreage of bare or sparsely vegetated areas is stable or decreasing in < 80% of sub-watersheds.	Red (The net acreage of bare or sparsely vegetated areas is stable or decreasing in 77% [23/30] of watersheds.)
1-2.8	Multi-year change in estimated soil loss rate (tons/acre/year) across Fort Polk training lands (Main Post/Vernon Unit, Peason Ridge)	5 years	Estimated soil loss rates are stable or decreasing over the multi-year period for ≥ 90% of training lands, relative to year 2000 soil loss rates.	Estimated soil loss rates are stable or decreasing over the multi-year period for < 90% and ≥ 80% of training lands, relative to year 2000 soil loss rates.	Estimated soil loss rates are stable or decreasing over the multi-year period for < 80% of training lands, relative to year 2000 soil loss rates.	Results Not Determined Due to Methodological Problems

Objective 2-1 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results - FY 2008

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results
			Green	Amber	Red	4 QTR 08
2-1.1	Percentage of critical Vernon-Fort Polk Red-cockaded Woodpecker (RCW) Population Joint Monitoring Plan (JMP) activities completed within prescribed time frames.	Annual	100% completion of critical JMP requirements in accordance with prescribed time frames	≥85% completion of critical JMP requirements in accordance with prescribed time frames	<85% completion of critical JMP requirements in accordance with prescribed time frames	Amber (87% - JMP Annual Report submitted to USFWS one week after due date)
2-1.2	Ratio of SRA certified soldiers to minimum number of required RSOs per MSC; ratio of SRA certified O/Cs to assigned O/Cs.	Annual	≥ 1.0 for all units	< 1.0 for one or more units and ≥ 0.95 for all units	< 0.95 for one or more units	Green (See Report for Task 1-1.3)
2-1.3	Percent of RCW clusters requiring painting, signing and/or fuel removal that received those maintenance activities on Fort Polk and KNF lands utilized by the Army for training.	Annual	Maintenance was accomplished for greater than or equal to 90 percent of clusters that required maintenance on Army and Forest Service land (Intensive Use Area, "IUA", and Limited Use Area, "LUA")	Maintenance was accomplished for 70-89 percent of clusters that required maintenance on Army and Forest Service land (IUA and LUA)	Maintenance was accomplished for <70 percent of clusters that required maintenance on Army and Forest Service land (IUA and LUA)	Green (99%)
2-1.4	Trends for violation of range regulations for protection of the RCW.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	No trend (n=0)
2-1.6	Change in number of groups within the Vernon-Fort Polk RCW population.	Annual	Population (number of groups) increased at a rate of ≥4.5% per year (annual I) or over the past 5 years (multi-year I).	Population (number of groups) changed at a rate of between <4.5% increase to <9.5 decrease per year (annual I) and over the past 5 years (multi-year I).	Population (number of groups) declined at a rate of ≥9.5 per year (annual I) or over the past 5 years (multi-year I) (Critical decline = 10% decline per RCW Recovery Plan).	Green (2007 growth = -0.01%; 5-yr growth = 12%)

Objective 2-2 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results - FY 2008

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results
			Green	Amber	Red	FY 08
2-2.1	Percent of potential Red-cockaded Woodpecker (RCW) habitat acres (pine and pine-hardwood stands) for Fort Polk, Peason Ridge, Intensive Use Area (IUA) and Limited Use Area (LUA) that have been cruised for stand inventory within the 10-year entry cycle.	Annual	Inventories for pine and pine-hardwood stands have been completed for $\geq 90\%$ of the stand area in ≤ 10 years; and 100% of area in ≤ 15 years.	Inventories for pine and pine-hardwood stands have been completed for $< 90\%$ of the stand area in ≤ 10 years or $< 100\%$ of the area in ≤ 15 years; and inventories have been completed for $\geq 80\%$ of the area in ≤ 10 years and $\geq 95\%$ of the area in ≤ 15 years.	Inventories for pine and pine-hardwood stands have been completed for $< 80\%$ of the stand area in ≤ 10 years; or $< 95\%$ of the area in ≤ 15 years.	Red (Army totals = 100% in 10 years and 100% in 15 years; USFS totals = 60% in 10 years and 76% in 15 years; Overall totals = 80% in 10 years and 88% in 15 years.)
2-2.2	Percent of pine and pine-hardwood forest acres that have received prescribed fire treatment within the 3 year target burning cycle.	Annual	Prescribed burning was completed for $\geq 90\%$ of pine and pine-hardwood forest acres in ≤ 3 years and 100% of these acres in ≤ 5 years.	Prescribed burning was completed for $< 90\%$ of pine and pine-hardwood forest acres in ≤ 3 years or $< 100\%$ of these acres in ≤ 5 years; and prescribed burning was completed for $\geq 80\%$ of the area in ≤ 3 years and $\geq 95\%$ of the area in ≤ 5 years.	Prescribed burning was completed for $< 80\%$ of the pine and pine-hardwood forest acres in ≤ 3 years; or $< 95\%$ of these acres in ≤ 5 years.	Amber (Army totals = 74% in 3 years and 95% in 5 years; USFS totals = 87% in 3 years and 98% in 5 years; Overall totals = 81% in 10 years and 96% in 15 years.)
2-2.3	Percent of cumulative IUA sale inventory and thinning goals accomplished, based on cumulative acres inventoried and sold.	Annual	$\geq 90\%$ of cumulative inventory for sale goal accomplished; and $\geq 90\%$ of cumulative sale goal accomplished.	$< 90\%$ of cumulative inventory for sale goal or cumulative sale goal accomplished; and $> 80\%$ of cumulative inventory for sale and cumulative sale goals accomplished.	$< 80\%$ of cumulative inventory for sale goal accomplished; or $< 80\%$ of annual sale goal accomplished.	Amber (106% of cumulative inventory goal accomplished; 84% of cumulative sale goal accomplished.)
2-2.4	Percent of potential RCW habitat required to support the Vernon-Fort Polk and Peason Ridge RCW populations at recovery that is currently available.	Annual	$\geq 110\%$ of RCW habitat required to support population and property recovery goals is currently available.	≥ 100 and $< 110\%$ of RCW habitat required to support population and property recovery goals is currently available.	$< 100\%$ of RCW habitat required to support population and property recovery goals is currently available.	Red (Estimated percent of required RCW habitat available = 96% for Vernon-Fort Polk population and 96% for Peason Ridge population)

Objective 4-1 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results - FY 2008

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results			
			Green	Amber	Red	1 QTR 08	2 QTR 08	3 QTR 08	4 QTR 08
4-1.1 A	Average percent of time per month that Fort Polk hunting website and Limited Use Area (LUA) and Special Limited Use Area (SLUA) website are operational	Quarterly	Both the hunting website and LUA/SLUA website are operational for $\geq 97\%$ of the quarter.	The hunting website or the LUA/SLUA website is operational for $< 97\%$ of the quarter; and the hunting website and LUA/SLUA website are operational for $\geq 93\%$ of the quarter.	The hunting website and/or the LUA/SLUA website is operational for $< 93\%$ of the quarter.	Green (Both websites operational; no known downtime based on service orders.)	Green (100% uptime; DOIM report for SSP 19.4.02 received.)	Green (100% uptime)	Green ($> 99\%$ uptime; 2 hours unscheduled downtime during quarter.)
4-1.1 B	Date of last webmaster update to the hunting and LUA/SLUA websites.	Quarterly	Both the hunting and LUA/SLUA websites were updated by the site webmaster during the past quarter.	Only one of the two websites was updated.	Neither website was updated.	N/A (New metric; reporting to begin 1st QTR FY09)	N/A (New metric; reporting to begin 1st QTR FY09)	N/A (New metric; reporting to begin 1st QTR FY09)	N/A (New metric; reporting to begin 1st QTR FY09)
4-1.2	Percent of total hunting acre-day capacity that is open for hunting during periods of interest in the LUA and in the Fort Polk and Peason Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs).	Annual	Total acre-day capacity open to hunting during periods of interest is $\geq 90\%$ in the LUA, $\geq 75\%$ in the Fort Polk WMA, and $\geq 50\%$ in the Peason Ridge WMA.	Total acre-day capacity open to hunting during periods of interest is $< 90\%$ in the LUA, or $< 75\%$ in the Fort Polk WMA, or $< 50\%$ in the Peason WMA; and $\geq 75\%$ in the LUA, and $\geq 50\%$ in the Fort Polk WMA, and $\geq 25\%$ in the Peason WMA.	Total acre-day capacity open to hunting during periods of interest is $< 75\%$ in the LUA, or $< 50\%$ in the Fort Polk WMA, or $< 25\%$ in the Peason Ridge WMA.	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	Red (100% LUA; 45% Ft. Polk; 27% Peason Ridge)
4-1.3	Percent of total commercial or non-commercial special use or group recreational events that were denied in the LUA/SLUA due to conflicts with military use.	Annual	No requests/applications for special use or group-use recreational events are denied due to conflicts with military use of the LUA or SLUA.	1 to 10% of requests/applications for special use or group-use recreational events are denied due to conflicts with military use of the LUA or SLUA.	$> 10\%$ of requests/applications for special use or group-use recreational events are denied due to conflicts with military use of the LUA or SLUA.	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	Green (LUA: no events cancelled/denied due to military conflicts. SLUA: no events cancelled/denied due to military conflicts)
4-1.4	Ratio of Sustainable Range Awareness (SRA) certified soldiers to minimum number of required Range Safety Officers per Major Subordinate Command; ratio of SRA certified Observer/Controllers (O/Cs) to assigned O/Cs.	Annual	≥ 1.0 for all units	< 1.0 for one or more units and ≥ 0.95 for all units	< 0.95 for one or more units	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	Green (See Report for Task 1-1.3)
4-1.5	Frequency of public feedback (positive/negative) on the availability and content of public information on training schedules in the LUA, SLUA, Fort Polk and Peason WMAs.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	NA (Annual)	No trend (No comments received in FY08)
4-1.6	Estimated rate of change in percent of total annual hunting acre-day capacity that is open for hunting ("percent open for hunting") over the past five year period, reported by area (LUA, Fort Polk and Peason WMAs). Annual training utilization rate, by area.	Annual	The estimated rate of change over the past five years for "percent open for hunting" is stable or increasing ($\leq 5\%$ decline) for the LUA, Fort Polk and Peason WMAs, at 90% confidence.	The estimated rate of change over the past five years for "percent open for hunting" is $> 5\%$ for the LUA, Fort Polk WMA or Peason WMA, and is $\leq 10\%$ for the LUA, Fort Polk and Peason WMAs, at 90% confidence.	The estimated rate of change over the past five years for "percent open for hunting" has declined by $> 10\%$ for the LUA, Fort Polk WMA or Peason WMA, at 90% confidence.	N/A (Annual)	N/A (Annual)	N/A (Annual)	N/A. Results not available for FY 2008 due to breakdown in protocol. Results available beginning FY 2009 (base year).
4-1.7	Trends for violations of range regulations restricting military use of recreational facilities or maintained trails in the LUA and SLUA.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A (Annual)	N/A (Annual)	N/A (Annual)	N/A (No trend; n = 0)
4-1.8	Weight of evidence of impacts based on annual results for the following tasks: 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3, and 4-1.6.	Annual	Total points for Tasks 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3 and 4-1.6 are ≥ 3 , where green tasks = 1 point, amber tasks = 0.5 points, and red tasks = 0 points.	Total points for Tasks 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3 and 4-1.6 are < 3 and ≥ 1.5 , where green tasks = 1 point, amber tasks = 0.5 points, and red tasks = 0 points.	Total points for Tasks 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3 and 4-1.6 are < 1.5 , where green tasks = 1 point, amber tasks = 0.5 points, and red tasks = 0 points.	N/A (Annual)	N/A (Annual)	N/A (Annual)	Amber (2 points)