

Objective 1-1 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results			
			Green	Amber	Red	1 QTR 15	2 QTR 15	3 QTR 15	4 QTR 15
1-1.1	Percent of training exercises for which maneuver damage inspections were accomplished; and percent of training exercises for which adequate time was allocated on the training calendar for maneuver damage inspections.	Quarterly	Inspections were fully completed for 100% of training exercises (home station and rotational events).	Inspections were fully completed for 80 - 99% of training exercises (home station and rotational events).	Inspections were completed for < 80% of training exercises (home station and rotational events).	Green (100%)	Green (100%)	Green (100%)	Green (100%)
1-1.2A	Percent of repairs/corrective actions completed within 30 days from the date that damages were identified; and percent of required repairs for which adequate time was allocated on the training calendar. (Note: Corrective actions for seeding and fertilization will not be recommended within Geronimo DZ as of 23 April 2015.)	Quarterly	>75% of corrective actions are completed in 30 days or less.	50% - 75% of corrective actions are completed in 30 days or less.	< 50% of corrective actions are completed in 30 days or less.	Green (152 / 178 = 85%)	Amber (178 / 247 = 72%); "weather", "no access" and "other" cited as reasons for delay for 28 of 91 repairs not completed in ≤ 30 days; no reason provided for other delays.	Green (207 / 269 = 77%); a reason for delay was reported for 15 of 86 (17%) of repairs not completed in ≤ 30 days, with "other" and "weather" provided as reasons, when reported.	Green (236 / 284 = 87%)
1-1.2B	Completion of biannual seeding and fertilization within Geronimo Drop Zone by 31 July and 30 November. (Approved 23 April 2015.)	Biannual (October and January)	Yes (seeding and fertilization completed on schedule)	N/A	No (seeding and fertilization not completed on schedule)	N/A (Metric adopted 23 April 2015)	N/A (Metric adopted 23 April 2015)	TBD (Report in October 2015)	Green (Seeding/fertilization of Geronimo DZ completed 7 July 2015)
1-1.3	Revised metric (approved 24 April 14): Number of OCTs and Soldiers for each MSC receiving certification.	Annually	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	See trend (798 Soldiers SRA certified FY15; total not comparable to prior years due to changes in stationing and military units included in report)
1-1.4	Trends for frequency, type and severity of maneuver damages.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	See trend (n=20)	See trend (n=252)	See trend (n=157)	See trend (n=117)
1-1.5	Percent of corrective actions that were determined to be effective based on site re-inspections.	Quarterly	> 90 % of damage repairs are effective.	75-90% of damage repairs are effective	< 75 % of damage repairs are effective.	Green (100%)	Green (119 / 119=100%)	Green (239 / 239=100%)	Green (269 / 272 = 99%)
1-1.6	Trends for violations of range regulations/permit conditions for environmental protection.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	See trend (n=0)	See trend (n=1; Vehicular traffic off established trail within 50ft of an active RCW cavity tree)	See trend (n=4; 2 x bivouacking, digging and driving within 50ft of active RCW cavity tree; 1 x any halt exceeding two hours, including tactical positions of any kind; and 1 x vehicle traffic through designated pine regeneration area.	See trend (n=0)
1-1.7	Number of new Land Rehabilitation and Maintenance (LRAM) and/or DPW Work Request/4283 erosion control projects identified annually. (Approved April 2015.)	Annually	≤ 5 new LRAM and/or DPW Work Request/4283 erosion control projects identified	> 5 and ≤ 15 new LRAM and/or DPW Work Request/4283 erosion control projects identified	> 5 and ≤ 15 new LRAM and/or DPW Work Request/4283 erosion control projects identified	N/A (Metric adopted 23 April 2015)	N/A (Metric adopted 23 April 2015)	TBD (Annual)	Green (No 4283 erosion control projects ID'd, and 3 LRAM erosion control projects ID'd and completed: FOB Anvil, Geronimo East Erosion Control Project, and Ouiska Chitto Creek Watershed Erosion Control Project Phases)
1-1.8	Number of new historic damage sites identified annually.	Annually	< 15 historic sites identified per year.	15-30 historic sites identified per year.	> 30 historic sites identified per year.	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	Green (1 historic damage site)

Objective 1-2 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results
			Green	Amber	Red	FY15
1-2.1	Percent of disturbed/degraded acres funded for land rehabilitation and maintenance (LRAM), based on requirements identified in Integrated Training Area Management (ITAM) Annual Work Plan.	Annual	≥ 90% of planned LRAM acres are funded.	< 90% and ≥ 70% of planned LRAM acres are funded.	< 70% of LRAM acres are funded.	Green (95.9 / 95.9 = 100% LRAM acres funded)
1-2.2	Percent of funded LRAM project acres that are completed during the fiscal year.	Annual	≥ 90% of funded LRAM project acres are completed.	< 90% and ≥ 70% of funded LRAM project acres are completed.	< 70% of funded LRAM project acres are completed.	Green (95.9 / 95.9 = 100% LRAM acres completed)
1-2.3	Percent of sub-watersheds for which current watershed management plans are in place. (Note: The term "current" denotes that an annual review has been conducted and the management plan has been updated or carried forward as appropriate.)	Annual	Current management plans are in place for ≥ 90% of sub-watersheds.	Current management plans are in place for < 90% and ≥ 70% of sub-watersheds.	Current management plans are in place for < 70% of sub-watersheds.	Red (Watershed management plans not updated.)
1-2.4	Annual prioritized list of LRAM projects cross-referenced to sub-watershed. (Prioritization of LRAM projects will include consideration of both site-specific factors such as safety, training use, and biological impacts; and the overall sub-watershed current to undisturbed (C:U) erosion rates, or other watershed condition factor. See tasks 1-2.6, 1-2.7 and 1-2.8.)	Annual	Project prioritization report is completed.	N/A	Project prioritization report is not completed.	Green (Project prioritization report complete)
1-2.5	Percent of LRAM projects that meet minimum project level objectives.	Annual	≥ 80% of LRAM projects meet minimum project level objectives.	< 80% and ≥ 60% of LRAM projects meet minimum project level objectives.	< 60% of LRAM projects meet minimum project level objectives.	Green (9 / 9 = 100% of projects were assessed for effectiveness and achieved the objective for percent cover)
1-2.6	Ratio of estimated current to undisturbed soil loss rate (tons/acre/year) across Fort Polk training lands (Main Post/Vernon Unit, Peason Ridge).	5 years	≥ 80 % of training lands have an current:undisturbed soil loss (C:U) ratio ≤ 1.20; and ≥ 90% of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.55	< 80 % of training lands have C:U ratio ≤ 1.20, or < 90% of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.55; and ≥ 60 % of training lands have C:U ratio ≤ 1.20, and ≥ 80 % of training lands have a C:U ≤ 1.55	< 60 % of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.20; or < 80 % of training lands have a C:U ratio ≤ 1.55	N/A - Report in FY20
1-2.7	Multi-year change in total acres of bare or sparsely vegetated areas. (Bare or sparsely vegetated areas will be determined through processing of satellite imagery to classify land use/land cover classes across training lands.)	5 years	The net acreage of bare or sparsely vegetated areas is stable or decreasing in ≥ 90% of sub-watersheds.	The net acreage of bare or sparsely vegetated areas is stable or decreasing in < 90% of sub-watersheds and ≥ 80% of sub-watersheds.	The net acreage of bare or sparsely vegetated areas is stable or decreasing in < 80% of sub-watersheds.	N/A - Report in FY20
1-2.8	Multi-year change in estimated soil loss rate (tons/acre/year) across Fort Polk training lands (Main Post/Vernon Unit, Peason Ridge)	5 years	Estimated soil loss rates are stable or decreasing over the multi-year period for ≥ 90% of training lands, relative to year 2000 soil loss rates.	Estimated soil loss rates are stable or decreasing over the multi-year period for < 90% and ≥ 80% of training lands, relative to year 2000 soil loss rates.	Estimated soil loss rates are stable or decreasing over the multi-year period for < 80% of training lands, relative to year 2000 soil loss rates.	N/A - Report in FY20

Objective 2-1 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria, and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results
			Green	Amber	Red	FY15
2-1.1	Percentage of critical JMP activities completed within prescribed time frames.	Annual	100% completion of critical JMP requirements in accordance with prescribed time frames.	≥85% completion of critical JMP requirements in accordance with prescribed time frames.	<85% completion of critical JMP requirements in accordance with prescribed time frames.	Amber (86% of "critical" monitoring tasks completed on time; JMP Annual Report was submitted to USFWS after the 30 June deadline)
2-1.2	Revised metric (approved 24 April 14): Number of OCTs and Soldiers for each MSC receiving certification.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	No trend (798 Soldiers SRA certified FY15; total not comparable to prior years due to changes in stationing and military units included in report)
2-1.3	Percent of RCW clusters requiring painting, signing and/or fuel removal that received those maintenance activities on Fort Polk and KNF lands utilized by the Army for training.	Annual	Maintenance was accomplished for greater than or equal to 90 percent of clusters that required maintenance on Army and Forest Service land (IUA and LUA).	Maintenance was accomplished for 70-89 percent of clusters that required maintenance on Army and Forest Service land (IUA and LUA).	Maintenance was accomplished for <70 percent of clusters that required maintenance on Army and Forest Service land (IUA and LUA).	Green (138 / 138 = 100%)
2-1.4	Trends for violation of range regulations for protection of the RCW.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	No trend (n = 4)
2-1.6	Change in number of groups within the Vernon-Fort Polk RCW population	Annual	Population (number of groups) increased at a rate of ≥4.5% per year (annual λ) or over the past 5 years (multi-year λ).	Population (number of groups) changed at a rate of between <4.5% increase to <9.5 decrease per year (annual λ) and over the past 5 years (multi-year λ).	Population (number of groups) declined at a rate of ≥9.5 per year (annual λ) or over the past 5 years (multi-year λ) (Critical decline = 10% decline per RCW Recovery Plan).	Amber (2014 change = +2%; 5-yr change = -7%; 1-yr increase and 5-yr population decline observed)

Objective 2-2 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results
			Green	Amber	Red	FY 15
2-2.1	Percent of potential Red-cockaded Woodpecker (RCW) habitat acres (pine and pine-hardwood stands) for Fort Polk, Peason Ridge, Intensive Use Area (IUA) and Limited Use Area (LUA) that have been cruised for stand inventory within the 10-year entry cycle.	Annual	Inventories for pine and pine-hardwood stands have been completed for ≥ 90% of the stand area in ≤ 10 years; and 100% of area in ≤ 15 years.	Inventories for pine and pine-hardwood stands have been completed for < 90% of the stand area in ≤ 10 years or < 100% of the area in ≤ 15 years; and inventories have been completed for ≥ 80% of the area in ≤ 10 years and ≥ 95 % of the area in ≤ 15 years.	Inventories for pine and pine-hardwood stands have been completed for < 80% of the stand area in ≤ 10 years; or < 95% of the area in ≤ 15 years.	Red (Army totals = 100% in 10 years and 100% in 15 years; USFS totals = 45% in 10 years and 71% in 15 years; Overall totals = 71% in 10 years and 85% in 15 years.)
2-2.2A	Percent of pine and pine-hardwood forest acres that have received prescribed fire treatment within the 3 year target burning cycle.	Annual	Prescribed burning was completed for ≥ 90% of pine and pine-hardwood forest acres in ≤ 3 years and 100% of these acres in ≤ 5 years.	Prescribed burning was completed for < 90% of pine and pine-hardwood forest acres in ≤ 3 years or < 100% of these acres in ≤ 5 years; and prescribed burning was completed for ≥ 80% of the area in ≤ 3 years and ≥ 95 % of the area in ≤ 5 years.	Prescribed burning was completed for < 80% of the pine and pine-hardwood forest acres in ≤ 3 years; or < 95% of these acres in ≤ 5 years.	Red (Army totals = 77% in 3 years and 84% in 5 years; USFS totals = 78% in 3 years and 92% in 5 years; Overall totals = 77% in 3 years and 88% in 5 years.)
2-2.2B	Percent of planned prescribed burning accomplished within RCW HMU (total area planned/total area burned based on burning plan map published 1 October).	Annual	≥ 75% of planned burning within RCW HMU was accomplished during the fiscal year. Green: ≥ 75% of planned burning within RCW HMU was accomplished during the fiscal year.	< 75% and ≥ 50% of planned burning within RCW HMU was accomplished during the fiscal year.	< 50% of planned burning was accomplished within RCW HMU was accomplished during the fiscal year.	Amber (Army total = 74% of planned FY15 RCW HMU burning completed; USFS total = 72% of planned FY15 RCW HMU burning completed; Overall total = 72% of planned FY15 RCW HMU burning completed)
2-2.3	Percent of cumulative IUA sale inventory and thinning goals accomplished, based on cumulative acres inventoried and sold.	Annual	≥ 90% of cumulative inventory for sale goal accomplished; and ≥ 90% of cumulative sale goal accomplished.	<90% of cumulative inventory for sale goal or cumulative sale goal accomplished; and ≥80% of cumulative inventory for sale and cumulative sale goals accomplished.	< 80% of cumulative inventory for sale goal accomplished; or < 80% of cumulative sale goal accomplished.	Red (71% of cumulative inventory goal accomplished; 92% of cumulative sale goal accomplished.)
2-2.4	Percent of potential RCW habitat required to support the Vernon-Fort Polk and Peason Ridge RCW populations at recovery that is currently available.	Annual	≥ 105 % of RCW habitat required to support population and property recovery goals is currently available.	≥ 100 and < 105 % of RCW habitat required to support population and property recovery goals is currently available.	<100 % of RCW habitat required to support population and property recovery goals is currently available.	Green (Estimated percent of required RCW habitat available ≥ 105% for Vernon Fort Polk population and ≥ 105% for Peason Ridge based on new population targets and habitat guidelines; -32 acres on Fort Polk, 0 acres on Peason Ridge, and 0 acres on the Vernon Unit of current or potential RCW habitat were removed within the RCW HMUs in FY15.

Objective 2-3 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results	
			Green	Amber	Red	CY14/FY15	CY14/FY15
2-3.1	Signature of US Fish and Wildlife Service approved CCA for the LPS on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and the Vernon Unit.	Annual (CY)	USFWS approved CCA for the LPS is in place and current.	N/A	USFWS approved CCA for the LPS is not in place or is not current.	Green: Revised CCA signed July 2013	Green: Revised CCA signed July 2013
2-3.2A	Percent of LPS habitat management unit (HMU) that has received prescribed fire in ≤ 3 years and ≤ 5 years.	Annual (FY)	Prescribed burning was completed for ≥ 90% of LPS HMU acres in ≤ 3 years and 100% of these acres in ≤ 5 years.	Prescribed burning was completed for < 90% of LPS HMU acres in ≤ 3 years or < 100% of these acres in ≤ 5 years; and prescribed burning was completed for ≥ 80% of the area in ≤ 3 years and ≥ 95 % of the area in ≤ 5 years.	Prescribed burning was completed for < 80% of LPS HMU acres in ≤ 3 years; or < 95% of these acres in ≤ 5 years.	Red (USFS totals = 80% in 3 years and 92% in 5 years; Army totals = 81% in 3 years and 90% in 5 years; Overall totals = 80% in 3 years and 91% in 5 years.)	Red (USFS totals = 86% in 3 years and 93% in 5 years; Army totals = 90% in 3 years and 96% in 5 years; Overall totals = 88% in 3 years and 94% in 5 years.)
2-3.2B	Percent of planned prescribed burning accomplished within LPS HMU (total area planned/total area burned).	Annual (FY)	≥ 75% of planned burning within LPS HMU was accomplished during the fiscal year.	< 75% and > 50% of planned burning within LPS HMU was accomplished during the fiscal year.	< 50% of planned burning was accomplished within LPS HMU was accomplished during the fiscal year.	Amber (USFS total = 89% of planned FY14 LPS HMU burning completed; Army total = 65% of planned FY14 LPS HMU burning completed; Overall total = 77% of planned FY14 LPS HMU burning completed)	Green (USFS total = 95% of planned FY15 LPS HMU burning completed; Army total = 79% of planned FY15 LPS HMU burning completed; Overall total = 89% of planned FY15 LPS HMU burning completed)
2-3.3A	Fort Polk and Peason Ridge: Total acres of timber harvested within the LPS HMU during the fiscal year.	Annual (FY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report beginning FY15 (Jan 2016)	N/A - 361 acres harvested on Main Post, 0 acres harvested on Peason Ridge w/in LPS HMU
2-3.3B	Vernon Unit: Forested acres thinned in the reporting year within LPS HMUs on the Vernon Unit to maintain sufficient light penetration for herbaceous understory vigor and "in accordance with accepted longleaf ecosystem management guidelines and Endangered Species management goals for RCW" (quoted from CCA).	Annual (FY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report beginning FY15 (Jan 2016)	N/A - 1,310 acres harvested on Vernon Unit w/in LPS HMU
2-3.4A	Percent of visitor kiosks and hunting check-in locations on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and Vernon Unit with signage in place promoting LPS conservation.	Annual (CY)	Presence of signage promoting LPS conservation verified, and replaced if absent, at 100% of visitor kiosks and hunter check-in locations on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and Vernon Unit.	Presence of signage promoting LPS conservation verified, and replaced if absent, at < 100% and ≥ 85% of visitor kiosks and hunter check-in locations on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and Vernon Unit.	Presence of signage promoting LPS conservation verified, and replaced if absent, at < 85% of visitor kiosks and hunter check-in locations on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and Vernon Unit.	Report beginning CY15 (April 2016)	Report beginning CY15 (April 2016)
2-3.4B	Percent of planned LPS outreach events/activities completed annually.	Annual (CY)	100% of planned annual LPS outreach events/activities were completed.	< 100% and ≥ 80% of planned annual LPS outreach events/activities were completed.	< 80% of planned annual LPS outreach events/activities were completed.	Red: 2 / 5 (40%) planned annual LPS outreach events conducted in FY14.	Report CY15 (April 2016)
2-3.4C	Number of individuals attending LPS outreach events/booths sponsored by Fort Polk and Kisatchie National Forest, Vernon Unit, and change in number of attendees over time.	Annual (CY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report beginning CY15 (April 2016)	Report beginning CY15 (April 2016)
2-3.5	Instances of LPS mortality recorded on road and trail segments on Fort Polk, KNF Vernon Unit and Peason Ridge during field inspections or other surveys of convenience.	Annual (CY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	No LPS mortality observed in CY14 on Fort Polk and Peason Ridge (no data for Vernon Unit)	Report CY15 (April 2016)
2-3.6	Mean number of trap days per LPS capture by and across administrative units (Fort Polk, Peason Ridge, Vernon Unit, Kisatchie Ranger District, etc.) and LPS populations within which trapping occurred.	Annual (CY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	2 LPS captures on Fort Polk in CY14 (1,470 trap days/capture); no data for Vernon Unit; no LPS captures on Peason Ridge & Kisatchie RD (4,663 trap days)	Report CY15 (April 2016)
2-3.7A	Number of OCTs and Soldiers for each MSC receiving certification.	Annual (FY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	A total of 862 Soldiers SRA were certified FY14 (vs 1708 in FY13)	798 Soldiers SRA certified FY15; total not comparable to prior years due to changes in stationing and military units included in report
2-3.7B	Percent of Environmental Compliance Officers (ECOs) that have completed the ECO training course.	Annual (FY)	The percent of ECOs completing the ECO training course is ≥90%.	The percent of ECOs completing the ECO training course is ≥75% and <90%	The percent of ECOs completing the ECO training course is <75%.	Report beginning FY15 (Jan 2016)	Green (1st Qtr- 275 ECOs = 100% 2nd Qtr- 260 ECOs = 100% 3rd Qtr- 255 ECOs = 100% 4th Qtr- 255 ECOs = 100%)
2-3.8	Percent of permanent and semi-permanent projects within LPS HMUs for which a survey was conducted for pocket gopher mounds prior to start of the project.	Annual (FY)	100% of "projects" within LPS HMUs are surveyed for pocket gopher mounds prior to start of the project.	≥ 80% and <100 % of "projects" within LPS HMUs are surveyed for pocket gopher mounds prior to start of the project.	< 80% of "projects" within LPS HMUs are surveyed for pocket gopher mounds prior to start of the project.	Report beginning FY15 (Jan 2016)	Green (No projects required a PGM survey on Fort Polk or Peason Ridge; 1 project required PGM survey on the Vernon Unit, survey was conducted.)
2-3.9A	Acres within LPS HMUs on Fort Polk and the Vernon Unit, combined, and within the Peason Ridge HMU that were converted to an unsuitable land use in the reporting year, and across years since HMU adoption.	Annual (FY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	No LPS habitat conversions in 2014; CY14 = baseline year due to revision of Fort Polk ESMC; no habitat removals on the Vernon Unit.	No LPS habitat conversions in 2014 on Fort Polk or Peason Ridge; 1/4 acre habitat removal w/in LPS HMU on the Vernon Unit.
2-3.9B	Total acres within LPS HMUs on Fort Polk and the Vernon Unit, combined, and within the Peason Ridge HMU remaining in a land use suitable for LPS use.	Annual (FY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Vernon Unit: 61,399 Fort Polk: 22,882 Peason Ridge: 5,641 Army Total: 28,524 Grand Total: 89,923	Vernon Unit: 61,399 Fort Polk: 22,882 Peason Ridge: 5,641 Army Total: 28,524 Grand Total: 89,923
2-3.10	Number of Erosion Control Blanket (ECB) best management practices (BMPs) recommended annually within Fort Polk and Vernon Unit LPS HMUs combined, and the Peason Ridge LPS HMU. (Note: the metric will track recommendations made by Fort Polk ENRMD and Calcasieu District.)	Annual (FY)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report beginning FY15 (Jan 2016)	No ECB's recommended by Fort Polk ENRMD or Vernon Unit in FY15.

Objective 2-4 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results	
			Green	Amber	Red	FY14	FY15
2-4.1	Reserved	Reserved	Reserved	Reserved	Reserved	Reserved	Reserved
2-4.2	Fort Polk, KNF Vernon Unit and Peason Ridge bog map layer(s) and data tables are updated annually to reflect monitoring results (see Tasks 2-4.1 and 2-4.3).	Annual	Annual update completed by 30 Sep.	Annual update completed by 30 Dec.	Annual update not completed by 30 Dec.	Green: annual update completed by 30 September	Green: annual update completed by 30 September
2-4.3	Annual percentage of "high quality" and potentially "at risk" bogs inspected for military impacts.	Annual	≥ 90% of high quality/at risk bogs are inspected annually for military impacts.	≥ 80 % and < 90% of high quality/at risk bogs are inspected annually for military impacts.	< 80 % of high quality/at risk bogs are inspected annually for military impacts.	Green; 100 / 100 = 100% of high quality/at risk bogs were inspected for military impacts.	Green; 101 / 101 = 101% of high quality/at risk bogs were inspected for military impacts.
2-4.4	Percent of "high quality" and potentially "at risk" bogs on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and the Vernon Unit requiring signage that have adequate signage.	Annual	≥ 90% of "high quality/at risk" bogs requiring signage have adequate signage.	≥ 70% and < 90% of "high quality/at risk" bogs requiring signage have adequate signage.	< 70% of "high quality/at risk" bogs requiring signage have adequate signage.	Green: 12 / 12 = 100% of high quality/at risk bogs needing signage were marked with signage.	Green: 14 / 14 = 100% of high quality/at risk bogs needing signage were marked with signage.
2-4.5	Percent of "high quality" and potentially "at risk" bogs directly impacted by military activities. (See definition in Task 2-4.3)	Annual	≤ 5% of "high quality/at risk" bogs on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and Vernon Unit are directly impacted by military activities.	> 5% and ≤ 10% of "high quality/at risk" bogs on are directly impacted by military activities.	> 10% of "high quality/at risk" bogs on Fort Polk, Peason Ridge and Vernon Unit are directly impacted by military activities.	Green; one high quality/at risk bog was impacted by military activities.	Green; no high quality/at risk bogs were impacted by military activities.

Objective 3-1 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			FY15
			Green	Amber	Red	
3-1.1	Screening/Alternatives Analysis for Siting of New Facilities A. Percent of MCA cantonment area facility siting decisions for which an environmental screening and site selection alternatives analysis was conducted. (Project siting approved by RPPB, excluding FMWR and Tenant projects) B. Percent of MCA Range Modernization and other range facility siting decisions for which an environmental screening and site selection alternatives analysis was conducted. (Project siting approved by RTLFB and/or USFS) C. Percent of minor construction (DPW Engineering) facility siting decisions for which an environmental screening and site selection alternatives analysis was conducted. (Project siting approved by DPWP and/or USFS, excluding FMWR and Tenant projects) D. Percent of NAF and Tenant (FMWR, Picerne, AAFES, Privatization) facility siting decisions for which an environmental screening and site selection alternatives analysis was conducted (Project siting approved/co-approved by FMWR or Tenant).	Annual	Environmental screening and site selection alternatives analyses are conducted for 100% of siting decisions for construction of new facilities or infrastructure.	Environmental screening and site selection alternatives analyses are conducted for ≥ 80% and < 100% of siting decisions for construction of new facilities or infrastructure.	Environmental screening and site selection alternatives analyses are conducted for < 80% of projects for construction of new facilities or infrastructure.	Green (3 / 3 = 100% of facilities requiring an environmental screening/ alternatives analysis followed the SEMP process for screening/ alternatives analysis.)
3-1.2A	Sustainable Site Credits for LEED-NC Projects Percentage of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieving LEED-NC: 2.2 Site Selection (SS) Credit 1. Note: For purposes of this task, "candidate" projects for new construction include all vertical construction projects with climate controlled facilities, regardless of funding source. Candidate projects for major renovations include renovation and repair projects that exceed the Garrison Command authority (\$3M) and have a repair to replacement ratio equal to or greater than 25 percent (see USACE Army LEED Implementation Guide, 15 Jan 2008, for additional criteria). The list of candidate projects under this task may differ from the list of projects under Task 3-1.1. For example, range projects may require an environmental screening and site selection analysis, but may not qualify as a candidate for LEED. Conversely, a major renovation project constructed within a previously developed footprint may be a candidate for LEED but may not require an environmental screening and site selection analysis.	Annual	≥90% of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 SS Credit 1.	≥ 75% and < 90% of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 SS Credit 1.	< 75% of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 SS Credit 1.	Green (3 / 3 = 100% of MILCON projects completed in FY15 [BOD] achieved SS Credit 1. See detail spreadsheet.)
3-1.2B	Sustainable Site Credits for LEED-NC Projects Percentage of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieving LEED-NC: 2.2 Site Selection (SS) Credit 5.1. Note: See Task 3-1.2A for definition of "candidate" projects.		≥90% of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 SS Credit 5.1.	≥ 75% and < 90% of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 SS Credit 5.1.	< 75% of candidate new construction and major renovation projects achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 SS Credit 5.1.	Red (0 / 3 = 0% of MILCON projects completed in FY15 [BOD] achieved SS Credit 5.1. See detail spreadsheet.)
3-1.3A	MILCON Facilities Constructed to LEED-NC: Silver Percent of LEED-NC candidate MILCON (new construction and major renovation) projects that are certified to achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 Silver or higher standards. Note: Certification may be conducted by the USGBC or the project team, per Army guidance. See task 3-1.2 for definition of major renovation/repair projects.	Annual	100% of candidate MILCON projects are certified to achieve LEED-NC: Silver or higher standards.	≥80% and <100% of candidate MILCON projects are certified to achieve LEED-NC: Silver or higher standards; and 100% of these projects meet LEED-NC Certified or higher.	<80% of candidate MILCON projects are certified to achieve LEED-NC: Silver or higher standards; or < 100% of these projects meet LEED-NC Certified or higher.	Red (1 / 2 = 50% of MILCON projects completed in FY15 [BOD] achieved or were projected to achieve LEED Silver or higher based on proposed and final LEED scorecards. Note: Projects with proposed and final LEED checklists and both certified and uncertified projects achieving LEED Silver or higher were counted. See detail spreadsheet.)
3-1.3B	Non-MILCON Facilities Constructed to LEED: Silver Percent of LEED-NC candidate non-MILCON (new construction) projects that are certified to achieve LEED-NC: 2.2 Silver or higher standards. Note: Certification may be conducted by the USGBC or the project team, per Army guidance.	Annual	100% of candidate non-MILCON (new construction) projects are certified to achieve LEED-NC: Silver or higher standards.	≥80% and < 100 % of candidate non-MILCON (new construction) projects are certified to achieve LEED-NC: Silver or higher standards; and 100% of these projects meet LEED- NC: Certified or higher.	< 80% of candidate new construction and major renovation projects are certified to achieve LEED-NC: Silver or higher standards; or < 100% of these projects meet LEED- NC: Certified or higher.	N/A, metric not yet approved
3-1.4A	Green Building Energy Savings – New Construction Annual energy consumption (kWh/sf/yr and/or Btu/sf/yr) one year post-occupancy for candidate LEED-NC permanent new construction buildings, as compared to: (a) the energy consumption for standard construction (baseline) building of similar type, as modeled using ASHRAE 90.1-2004 (or most current accepted model), and (b) the predicted (design) energy consumption for the building. Note: this measure includes all MILCON and non-MILCON new construction with climate control, except for temporary buildings. Actual energy performance results will be reported separately for each building.	Annual	The building uses at least 30% less energy (kW/sf and/or Btu/sf/yr) than baseline buildings modeled using ASHRAE 90.1 and does not exceed the design prediction for energy use.	The building uses at least 30% less energy (kW/sf and/or Btu/sf/yr) than baseline buildings modeled using ASHRAE 90.1 but exceeds the design prediction for energy use.	The building does not use at least 30% less energy (kW/sf and/or Btu/sf/yr) than baseline buildings modeled using ASHRAE 90.1 and exceeds the design prediction for energy use.	Red (No data available. Data collection protocol not developed.)
3-1.4B	Green Building Energy Savings – Major Renovation and Repair Annual energy consumption (kWh/sf/yr and/or Btu/sf/yr) one year post-occupancy for candidate LEED-NC major renovation/repair buildings, as compared to: (a) the energy consumption for standard construction (baseline) building of similar type, as modeled using ASHRAE 90.1-2004 (or most current accepted model), and (b) the predicted (design) energy consumption for the building. Note: This task includes MILCON major renovation/repair projects. See task 3-1.2 for definition of major renovation/repair projects. Actual energy performance results will be reported separately for each building.	Annual	The building uses at least 20% less energy (kW/sf and/or Btu/sf/yr) than baseline buildings modeled using ASHRAE 90.1 and does not exceed the design prediction for energy use.	The building uses at least 20% less energy (kW/sf and/or Btu/sf/yr) than baseline buildings modeled using ASHRAE 90.1 but exceeds the design prediction for energy use.	The building does not use at least 20% less energy (kW/sf and/or Btu/sf/yr) than baseline buildings modeled using ASHRAE 90.1 and exceeds the design prediction for energy use.	Red (No data available. Data collection protocol for energy performance not developed.)
3-1.5	Green Building Water Savings – New Const. & Major Renovation/Repair Estimated total water use (gal/FTE/yr and/or gal/sf/yr) not including irrigation, one year post-occupancy for candidate LEED-NC permanent new construction and major renovation buildings, as compared to the water consumption baseline calculated for the building, based on EPA 1992 fixture flush/flow rate default values. Note: Actual water conservation performance results will be reported separately for each building.	Annual	The building uses at least 30% less water (gal/FTE/yr and/or gal/sf/yr) than baseline buildings based on EPA 1992 fixture flush/flow rate default values.	The building uses from 20% to 30% less water (gal/FTE/yr and/or gal/sf/yr) than baseline buildings based on EPA 1992 fixture flush/flow rate default values.	The building does not use at least 20% less water (gal/FTE/yr and/or gal/sf/yr) than baseline buildings based on EPA 1992 fixture flush/flow rate default values.	Red (No data available. Data collection protocol for water conservation not developed.)
3-1.6	Green Building Lifecycle Cost Savings – New Construction and Major Renovation/Repair Estimated payback period (increased first cost / energy cost savings per year for the building) for LEED-NC candidate new construction and major renovation buildings. Note: See Task 3-1.2 for definition of candidate LEED projects. Lifecycle cost performance results will be reported separately for each building.	Annual	Payback period is ≤ 10 years.	Payback period is > 10 years and ≤ 20 years.	Payback period is > 20 years.	Red (No data available. Data collection protocol for life cycle cost savings/payback period not developed.)

Objective 4-1 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results			
			Green	Amber	Red	1 QTR 15	2 QTR 15	3 QTR 15	4 QTR 15
4-1.1A	Average percent of time per month that Fort Polk hunting website and Limited Use Area (LUA) and Special Limited Use Area (SLUA) website are operational.	Quarterly	Both the hunting website and LUA/SLUA website are operational for $\geq 97\%$ of the quarter.	The hunting website or the LUA/SLUA website is operational for $< 97\%$ of the quarter; and the hunting website and LUA/SLUA website are operational for $\geq 93\%$ of the quarter.	The hunting website and/or the LUA/SLUA website is operational for $< 93\%$ of the quarter.	Green (99% uptime)	Green (99% uptime)	Green (99% uptime)	Green (99% uptime)
4-1.1B	Date of last webmaster update to the hunting and LUA/SLUA websites.	Quarterly	Both the hunting and LUA/SLUA websites were updated by the site webmaster during the past quarter.	Only one of the two websites was updated.	Neither website was updated.	Green (Content updated for both web sites)	Green (Content updated for both web sites)	Green (Content updated for both web sites)	Green (Content updated for both web sites)
4-1.2	Percent of total hunting acre-day capacity that is open for hunting during periods of interest in the LUA and in the Fort Polk-Vernon and Peason Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs).	Annual	Total acre-day capacity open to hunting during periods of interest is $\geq 90\%$ in the LUA, $\geq 75\%$ in the Fort Polk-Vernon WMA, and $\geq 50\%$ in the Peason Ridge WMA.	Total acre-day capacity open to hunting during periods of interest is $< 90\%$ in the LUA, or $< 75\%$ in the Fort Polk-Vernon WMA, or $< 50\%$ in the Peason WMA; and $\geq 75\%$ in the LUA, and $\geq 50\%$ in the Fort Polk-Vernon WMA, and $\geq 25\%$ in the Peason WMA.	Total acre-day capacity open to hunting during periods of interest is $< 75\%$ in the LUA, or $< 50\%$ in the Fort Polk-Vernon WMA, or $< 25\%$ in the Peason Ridge WMA.	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	Green (100% LUA, 76% Fort Polk-Vernon WMA, 86% Peason Ridge WMA open for hunting. Note: new training lands added to Peason Ridge WMA this year.)	Green - Reported 3rd Qtr FY15 (100% LUA, 76% Fort Polk-Vernon WMA, 86% Peason Ridge WMA open for hunting. Note: new training lands added to Peason Ridge WMA this year.)
4-1.3	Percent of total commercial or non-commercial special use or group recreational events that were denied in the LUA/SLUA due to conflicts with military use.	Annual	No requests/applications for special use or group-use recreational events are denied due to conflicts with military use of the LUA or SLUA.	1 to 10% of requests/applications for special use or group-use recreational events are denied due to conflicts with military use of the LUA or SLUA.	$> 10\%$ of requests/applications for special use or group-use recreational events are denied due to conflicts with military use of the LUA or SLUA.	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	Green (No LUA recreational events denied or conflicts with military use reported.)
4-1.4	Revised metric (approved 24 April 14): Number of OCTs and Soldiers for each MSC receiving certification.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	No trend (798 Soldiers SRA certified FY15; total not comparable to prior years due to changes in stationing and military units included in report)
4-1.5	Frequency of public feedback (positive/negative) on the availability and content of public information on training schedules in the LUA, SLUA, Fort Polk-Vernon and Peason WMAs.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	No trend (No comments received in 2015)
4-1.6	Estimated rate of change in percent of total annual hunting acre-day capacity that is open for hunting ("percent open for hunting") over the past five year period, reported by area (LUA, Fort Polk-Vernon and Peason WMAs). Annual training utilization rate, by area.	Annual	The estimated rate of change over the past five years for "percent open for hunting" is stable or increasing ($\leq 5\%$ decline) for the LUA, Fort Polk-Vernon and Peason WMAs, at 90% confidence.	The estimated rate of change over the past five years for "percent open for hunting" is $> 5\%$ for the LUA, Fort Polk-Vernon WMA or Peason WMA, and is $\leq 10\%$ for the LUA, Fort Polk-Vernon and Peason WMAs, at 90% confidence.	The estimated rate of change over the past five years for "percent open for hunting" has declined by $> 10\%$ for the LUA, Fort Polk-Vernon WMA or Peason WMA, at 90% confidence.	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	Green (LUA = no change; Fort Polk-Vernon WMA = 10% increase; Peason Ridge WMA - 75% increase; increases not statistically significant)	Green (LUA = no change; Fort Polk-Vernon WMA = 10% increase; Peason Ridge WMA - 75% increase; increases not statistically significant)
4-1.7	Trends for violations of range regulations restricting military use of recreational facilities or maintained trails in the LUA and SLUA.	Annual	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	No trend (n = 0)
4-1.8	Weight of evidence of impacts (to hunting and other approved recreational uses of the WMAs, LUA and SLUA) based on annual results for the following tasks: 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3, and 4-1.6.	Annual	Total points for Tasks 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3 and 4-1.6 are ≥ 3 , where green tasks = 1 point, amber tasks = 0.5 points, and red tasks = 0 points. Total points for Tasks 4-1.1A and 4-1.1B = 1 point.	Total points for Tasks 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3 and 4-1.6 are < 3 and ≥ 1.5 , where green tasks = 1 point, amber tasks = 0.5 points, and red tasks = 0 points. Total points for Tasks 4-1.1A and 4-1.1B = 1 point.	Total points for Tasks 4-1.1, 4-1.2, 4-1.3 and 4-1.6 are < 1.5 , where green tasks = 1 point, amber tasks = 0.5 points, and red tasks = 0 points. Total points for Tasks 4-1.1A and 4-1.1B = 1 point.	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	TBD (Annual)	Green (4 points)

Objective 4-2 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			Performance Results			
			Green	Amber	Red	1 QTR 15	2 QTR 15	3 QTR 15	4 QTR 15
4-2.1	Number of operating days/year for LUA and Peason Ridge noise monitors (monitor-days/year).	Quarterly	LUA noise monitors were operational for ≥ 90% of annual monitor-operating days/year; and Peason Ridge noise monitors were operational for ≥ 90% of annual monitor-operating days/ year.	LUA or Peason Ridge noise monitors were operational for < 90% of annual monitor-operating days/year and LUA and Peason Ridge monitors were operational for ≥ 75% and of annual monitor-operating days/year.	LUA or Peason Ridge noise monitors were operational for < 75% of annual monitor-operating days/year.	Green (LUA noise monitors: 97% operational; Peason Ridge monitors: ≥ 91% operational; unable to access monitor #13 during Dec 2014 due to training)	Green (LUA noise monitors: 92% operational; Peason Ridge monitors: 98% operational)	Green (LUA noise monitors: 92% operational; Peason Ridge monitors: 95% operational)	Green (LUA noise monitors: 99% operational; Peason Ridge monitors: 92% operational)
4-2.2	Number of validated noise complaints. Note: the term "validated" indicates that military activities were confirmed to be the cause of the noise resulting in the complaint.	Quarterly	No validated noise complaints	One validated noise complaint	More than one validated noise complaint	Green (No noise complaints in 1st quarter)	Red (Two valid noise complaints received in the 2nd quarter: 15 Jan - Anacoco bomb drop complaint; 26 Jan - Woodworth helicopter complaint)	Red (Two valid noise complaints received in the 3rd quarter: 19 and 20 May complaints resulting from bomb drops at Peason Ridge)	Green (No noise complaints in 4th quarter)
4-2.3	Percent of private land line miles in LUA maintained within 8 years and percent maintained within 10 years.	Annual	≥ 90% of private land line miles have been maintained in ≤ 8 years and 100% of land lines have been maintained in ≤ 10 years.	< 90% of private land line miles have been maintained in ≤ 8 years or < 100% of land lines have been maintained in ≤ 10 years; and ≥ 80% of private land line miles have been maintained in ≤ 5 years and ≥ 95% of land lines have been maintained in ≤ 8 years.	< 80% of private land line miles have been maintained in ≤ 8 years or < 95% of land lines have been maintained in ≤ 10 years.	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	Red (50% of land lines maintained within 8 years and 50% maintained within 10 years; 135.6 miles total)
4-2.4	Frequency of observed/reported incidents of trespass onto private lands in the LUA or SLUA based on Range Control clearance inspections and public complaints.	Annual	≤ 1 occurrence of trespass by troops onto private land in the LUA or SLUA.	2 - 5 total occurrences of trespass by troops onto private land in the LUA or SLUA.	> 5 total occurrences of trespass by troops onto private land in the LUA or SLUA.	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	Green (No known incidents of military trespass onto private property in the LUA during FY15)
4-2.5	Percent of fire lines (miles) maintained annually.	Annual	100 % of fire lines in the LUA are maintained annually.	≥ 90 % and <100 % of fire lines in the LUA are maintained annually.	< 90 % of fire lines in the LUA are maintained annually.	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	Red (46 / 82 = 56% of LUA fire lines maintained)
4-2.6A	Number of high risk (Amber/Red/Black) fire days.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	Green: 92 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 90 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 91 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 41 (100%); Amber: 51 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)
4-2.6B	Number of wildfires reported to NRMB that are caused by military operations (live fire or use of other incendiary devices on range or maneuver training areas) during high risk fire days.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	Green: 9 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 21 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 18 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 8 (100%); Amber: 15 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)
4-2.6C	Total acreage of wildfires reported to NRMB that are caused by military operations (live fire or use of other incendiary devices on range or maneuver training areas) during high risk fire days.	Quarterly	N/A	N/A	N/A	Green: 101 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 2,067 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 414 (100%); Amber: 0 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)	Green: 226 (100%); Amber: 230 (0%); Red: 0 (0%); Black: 0 (0%)
4-2.7	Completion of annual LUA fire drill.	Annual	Annual LUA fire drill was completed.	N/A	Annual LUA fire drill was not completed.	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	N/A Annual	Green (LUA fire drill completed 26 March 2015)
4-2.8	Number of wildfires on private property resulting from military activities.	Quarterly	No wildfires occurred on private property as a result of military activities.	N/A	One or more wildfires occurred on private property in the LUA as a result of military activities.	Green (No military-caused wildfires occurred/ extended off-post)	Green (No military-caused wildfires occurred/ extended off-post)	Green (No military-caused wildfires occurred/ extended off-post)	Green (No military-caused wildfires occurred/ extended off-post)

Objectives 5-1 and 5-2 Metrics, Performance Target Criteria and Performance Results

Task#	Metric	Reporting Frequency	Performance Target Criteria			FY15
			Green	Amber	Red	
5-1.1	Publication of annual SEMP report.	Annual	SEMP annual report is published online by 30 March of the next FY.	SEMP annual report is published online after 30 March and before 30 September of the next FY.	SEMP annual report is not published by 30 September of the next FY.	Green (Report published in March 2015)
5-2.1	Percent of quarterly/annual Red monitoring task performance results for which a root cause analysis was conducted and appropriate management actions were identified.	Annual	A root cause analysis was conducted and appropriate management actions were identified for 100% of monitoring task with Red performance results.	A root cause analysis was conducted and appropriate management actions were identified for < 100% and ≥ 80% of monitoring task with Red performance results.	A root cause analysis was conducted and appropriate management actions were identified for < 80% of monitoring task with Red performance results.	Green (Red results were reported for 16 tasks in FY15, and 3 were selected for RCA by the Oversight Committee. All 3 RCAs were completed in FY15.)
5-2.2	Percent of SEMP monitoring questions for which one or more metrics and associated performance target criteria have been approved by the Oversight Committee.	Annual	Metrics and performance target criteria have been developed for ≥ 90% of SEMP monitoring questions by end of May 2010.	Metrics and performance target criteria have been developed for <90% and ≥ 70% of SEMP monitoring questions by end of May 2010.	Metrics and performance target criteria have been developed for < 70% of SEMP monitoring questions by end of May 2010.	Red (81 of an estimated 103 required measures are approved by Oversight Committee = 79% complete.)
5-2.3	Percent of approved SEMP monitoring tasks for which results were reported on schedule.	Annual	Results were reported on schedule for 100% of approved SEMP monitoring tasks.	N/A	Results were reported on schedule for < 100% of approved SEMP monitoring tasks.	Green (100% of results reported on time.)
5-2.4	SEMP Oversight Committee reviews conducted at least once per quarter.	Annual	One or more SEMP Oversight Committee reviews conducted per quarter.	N/A	Less than one SEMP Oversight Committee review conducted per quarter.	Green (4 quarterly meetings held in FY15.)